

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/916,682	07/30/2001	Yasutaka Ito	110580.01	9838	
25944 75	90 04/30/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			PAIK, SANG YEOP		
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		3742	21/	
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004	α	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ુંલ	. •		<u>.</u>	-1AA			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{N}^{U}			
		09/916,682	ITO, YASUTAKA				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sang Y Paik	3742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re oly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB.	oply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. mmunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 F	ebruary 2004.		,			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 9-22 is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	_				
5)	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>9-22</u> is/are rejected.						
6)⊠							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.	•				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* ' '					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	•	•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies	its have been received. Its have been received in A	oplication No	Stage			
	application from the International Burea						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not i	received.				
Attachmen	•	∆ □	ummery (PTO 442)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	, -	formal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)	 ·				

Application/Control Number: 09/916,682

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 9, 11-16 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al (US 6,080,970) or Arami et al (US 5,904,872) in view of Koontz (US 5,877,473).

Yoshida et al or Arami et al disclose the ceramic heater claimed including a disk-shaped ceramic substrate with a heat-generating pattern, having a combination of spiral and bending pattern, disposed on the surface of the ceramic substrate, a semiconductor wafer heated on the surface opposite to the surface of the ceramic substrate. Arami et al further show that the disk-shaped ceramic substrate has the diameter of 8 inches or larger to accommodate a wafer having a diameter of 8 inches or 203 mm. Yoshida et al or Arami et al teach that the ceramic substrate can be made of aluminum nitride. However, Yoshida et al and Arami et al do not show that the bending portion describes an arc having a curvature radius within a range of 0.1 mm to 20 mm.

Koontz shows a heating pattern having a bending portion whose curvature radius is within the claimed range to keep the width of heating element consistently equal. Koontz teaches that such curvature reduces cold and hot spots along the heating element. In view of Koontz, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Yoshida et al or Arami et al

Application/Control Number: 09/916,682

Art Unit: 3742

with the claimed curvature bending to eliminate hot and cold spots and thus provide an uniform heating across its heating surface.

With respect to claims 14 and 21, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the diameter of the ceramic substrate more than 300 mm or more since the size of the ceramic substrate would have been dependent upon the size of the wafer that is being heated by the ceramic substrate and to provide sufficient heating area to encompass the entire wafer area for uniform heating across the wafer.

3. Claims 10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al or Arami et al in view of Koontz as applied to claims 9, 11-16 and 18-22 above, and further in view of Ito et al (US 6,072,162) or Furuya et al (US 6,084,215).

Yoshida et al or Arami et al in view of Koontz discloses the ceramic heater claimed except having through holes for inserting supporting pins.

Ito et al and Furuya et al shows a wafer supporting heater having a plurality of through holes for inserting supporting pins to support a wafer. In view of Ito et or Furuya et al, it would have bee obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Yoshida et al or Arami et al, as modified by Koontz, with the through holes to provide the supporting pins so that the wafer can be conveniently moved to or from the ceramic substrate during the wafer treating process.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 2/11/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants argue that the applied prior art Koontz fails to teach the claimed radius of .1 mm to 20 mm. This argument is not persuasive since Koontz clearly shows in column 7, lines 63, that the radius is in the range from 8.8 mm. Furthermore, Koontz teaches that

Application/Control Number: 09/916,682

Art Unit: 3742

the width of the heating element is maintained constant to prevent the cold or hot spots. The applicants argue that since the heating element of Koontz is not formed on the substrate but rather within the substrate, Koontz is not combinable with the base primary references. While, the heating structure of the device in Koontz is different, the Koontz reference is in the same field of endeavor which is in the field of electrical heating devices and is reasonably pertinent to the problem that the applicants are concerned with, i.e., maintaining a uniform heating surface. Thus the applicants' argument is not deemed persuasive.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y Paik whose telephone number is 703-308-1147. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/916,682

Art Unit: 3742

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Pa

Sang Y Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742